مجلة جامعة بنى وليد للعلوم الإنسانية والتطبيقية

تصدر عن جامعة بني وليد - ليبيا Website: <u>https://jhas-bwu.com/index.php/bwjhas/index</u>

العدد الثلاثون، ديسمبر 2023



استخدام التحكم الأمثل بدون خسارة المال في المستقبل إبتسام علي إبراهيم - أحلام سعد عبدالله قسم الرياضيات، كلية العلوم، جامعة طبرق، ليبيا ebtessamaali4@gmail.com

# Using optimal control without loss

## the money in future

Ebtessam Ali Ebrahim - Ahlam.S.Abdulla

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Tobruk, Libya

تاريخ الاستلام:22-11-2023 تاريخ القبول: 13-12-2023 تاريخ النشر: 22-12-2023

الملخص:

الغرض من كتابة هذا الموضوع هو لاستعراض دراسة مبسطة وقصيرة لمعرفة كيفية تضخيم اموال شخص ما باستخدام التحكم الامثل من دون خسارة والغرض من التحكم هنا هو تضخيم المردود المتوقع في المستقبل يمكن ملاحظة في هذه الدراسة نتيجة قيمة ومثالية للغاية أذا وجدنا القرار الامثل الذي سيجلب بعض المدخلات الايجابية في المستقبل نحن نعلم ان تضخيم الاموال دون خسارة هو في الواقع جزء هام في حياتنا لنفترض أن حالة النظام في الوقت المحدد يتم وصفها بواسطة عملية الايتو والتي لها الصيغة

(dX) \_t= [dX] \_t^u=b(t,X\_t,u\_t)dt+σ(t,X\_t,u\_t) [dB] \_t (1) يفترض التحكم في ماركوف انه لا يعتمد علي نقطة البداية فالقيمة التي نختارها في ذلك الوقت تعتمد فقط علي النظام في هذا الوقت .

الكلمات الدالة: ماركروف كنترول، عملية ايتو، براون موشن، جاكوبي بليمان، التحكم الأمثل.

### Abstract

The purpose of writing this paper is to demonstrate a short study to learn how the person to maximize his money by using an optimal control without loss. The purpose of the control is to maximize the expected payoff in the future . We have in this paper very valuable result .

If we have found an optimal decision that will bring some positive inpute in future . We know that to maximize our money with out losing is actually very necessary part in our life.

Suppose that the state of a system at time t is described by ito process  $X_t$ 

of the form

$$dX_t = dX_t^u = b(t, X_t, u_t)dt + \sigma(t, X_t, u_t)dB_t$$
(1)

Markov control is assume that u does not depend on the starting point y = (s, x), the value we choose at time t only dependes on of the system at this time.

Keywords: Markov control - Ito process-Brownain motion-Hamilton - Jakobi bellman- optimal control

#### **Introduction**

It will be identify a function u;  $R^{n+1} \rightarrow U$ .

where

 $X_t \in \mathbb{R}^n, b: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times U \to \mathbb{R}^n, \sigma: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times U \to \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } B_t \text{ is } m - \mathbb{R}^n$ 

dimensional Brownian motion. Where  $u_t = u(t, w)$  is a stochastic process.

because our decision at time *t* should be based on what has happened up to time *t*, the function  $w \to u(t, w)$  must be measurable with respect to the filtration  $F_t^m$ , *i.e* the process  $u_t$  must be  $F_t^m$  adapted.

Suppose that the process  $X_t$  satisfying the equation (1),

let  $X_h^{s,x}$  be the solution for the equation (1) such that

$$X_{h}^{s,x} = x + \int_{s}^{h} b(r, X_{r}^{s,x}, u_{r}) dr + \int_{s}^{h} \sigma(r, X_{r}^{s,x}, u_{r}) dB_{r}; \quad h \ge s$$

 $Q^{s,x}$  is the probability law of  $Y_t = (s + t, X_{s+t}^{s,t})t \ge 0$ ,  $P^0$  is the probability law of  $B_t$  starting at 0, such that

 $Q^{s,x}[X_{t1} \in F_1, \dots, X_{tk} \in F_k] = P^0[X_{t1}^{s,x} \in F_1, \dots, X_{tk}^{s,x} \in F_k]$ (2) for  $s \le t_i, F_i \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ;  $1 \le i \le k, k = 1, 2 \dots$ 

Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times U \to \mathbb{R}$  the utility rate or profit rate function and

 $g; \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  ( the bequest function) be given continuous function ,

let *G* (the solvency set) and let *T* ( the bankruptcy time) be the first time from *G* for the process  $\{X_r^{s,x}\}$  mean that

$$T = T^{s,x}(w) = \inf\{r > s; (r, X_r^{s,x}(w)) \notin G\} \le \infty.$$

Assume

$$E^{s,x}\left[\int_{s}^{T}|f^{ur}(r,X_{r})|dr+|g(T,X_{T})|_{X_{\{T<\infty\}}}\right]<\infty.$$
 (3)

We define the performance function  $J^{u}(s, x)$  by

$$J^{u}(s,x) = E^{s,x} \left[ \int_{s}^{T} f^{ur}(r,X_{r}) dr + g(T,X_{T})_{X_{\{T < \infty\}}} \right].$$
(4)

If we introduce the notation  $Y_t = (s + t, X_{s+t}^{s,x})$  to make it easier and substitution this in equation (1) to obtain

$$dY_t = dY_t^u = b(Y_t, u_t)dt + \sigma(Y_t, u_t)dB_t.$$
 (5)

Where

$$\tau_G := \inf\{t > 0; Y_t \notin G\} = T - s$$
 . (6)

Define

 $\tau_{\rm G}$  is the first exist time from the set G of a process  $Y_t.$  Moreover

$$g(T,X_T) = g(Y_{T-s}) = g(Y_{\tau G}).$$

Therefore the performance function can be written as follows,

whith y = (s, x)

$$J^{u}(y) = E^{y} \left[ \int_{0}^{\tau G} f^{ut}(Y_{t}) dt + g(Y_{\tau G}) x_{\tau G < \infty} \right]$$
(7).

The problem is to find the number  $\Phi(y)$  and control

$$u^* = u^*(t, w) \in \mathcal{A}$$

such that

$$\Phi(y) \coloneqq \sup_{u(t,w)} J^u(y) = J^{u^*}(y).$$

The supremum is taken over a given family  $\mathcal{A}$  of a admissible controls,  $u^*$  is called an optimal control if it is exists and  $\Phi$  is called the optimal performance or the value function.

The Hamilton- Jacobi-Bellman Equation

The Hamilton - Jacobi - Bellman Equation is central result in optimal theory,

we want to consider Markov controls only u = (t, Xt(w)) define

$$a_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}\sigma(x)\sigma^T(x).$$

Introducing  $Y_t = (s + t, X_{s+t})$  and the equation becomes

$$dY_t = b(Y_t, u(Y_t))dt + \sigma(Y_t, u(Y_t))dB_t.$$
(8)

For  $v \in U$  and  $\phi \in C_0^2 (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  define

$$(L^{\nu}\phi)(y) = \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial s}(y) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i(y,\nu)\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(y,\nu)\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x_i\partial x_j}.$$
 (9)

The first fundamental and consequence result in stochastic control theory is the following:

Theorem: (The Hamilton- Jacobi - Bellman HJB (I))

$$Define \\ \Phi(y) = Sup\{J^u(y): u = u(Y)\}. Markov Control.$$

Assume that  $\Phi \in C^2(G) \cap C(G^-)$  satisfies

$$E^{\gamma}\left[|\phi(Y_{\alpha})| + \int_{0}^{\alpha} |L^{\nu}(Y_{t})| dt\right] < \infty$$

for all bounded stopping times  $\alpha \leq \tau_G$ , for all  $y \in G$  and all  $v \in U$ . Furthermore an optimal Markov control  $u^*$  exists and  $\partial G$  is regular for

$$Y_t^{u^*}$$
 in (7)

Then

$$Sup\{f^{v}(y) + (L^{v}\Phi)(y)\} = 0 , \forall y \in G$$
 (10)

and

 $\Phi(y) = g(y) , \forall y \in \partial G.$ (11)

The HJB(I) equation state that if an optimal control  $u^*$  exists ,then we know that its value v at the point y is a point v where the function

$$v \to f^{v}(y) + (L^{v}\Phi)(y); v \in U$$

attains its maximum also states that it is necessary and sufficient that

 $v = u^*(y)$  is the maximum of function.

Theorem (The HJB II):

Let  $\phi$  be a function , for all  $v \in U$ , such that

$$f^{v}(y) + (L^{v}\phi)(y) \le 0; y \in G$$
 (12)

with bounded values

$$\lim_{t \to tG} \phi(Y_t) = g(Y_{tG}) \cdot x\{tG < \infty\}$$
(13)

Also, such that

 $\{\phi(Y_t); t \text{ stopping time }, t \leq t_G\}$  (14)

is uniformly  $Q^{y}$  – integrable for all Markov controls u and all  $y \in G.Then$ 

 $\emptyset(y) \ge J^u(y)$ 

for all Markov controls  $u and all y \in G$ .

likewise, if for each  $y \in G$  we have found  $u_0(y)$  such that

$$f^{u_0(y)}(y) + L^{u_0(y)}(y) = 0$$
 (15)

As well as

$$\{\phi(Y^{u_0}); t \text{ stopping time }, t \leq t_G\}$$
 (16)

is uniformly  $Q^y$  – integrable for all  $y \in G$  then  $u_0 = u_0(y)$  is a Markov control such that  $\phi(y) = J^{u_0}(y)$  and hence if  $u_0$  is admissible then  $u_0$  must be an

optimal control and  $\phi(y) = \Phi(y)$ .

The HJB equations (I),(II) provide a nice solution to the stochastic control problem in the case where only Markov controls are considered.

Theorem:

Let 
$$\begin{split} &\Phi_M(y) = \sup\{J^u(y); u \ u(Y) \ Markov \ control\} \\ &\text{and} \\ &\Phi_a(y) = \sup\{J^u(y); u = u(t,w)\mathcal{F}^m_t - adapted \ control\} \\ &\text{Suppose there exists an optimal Markov \ control} \ u_0 = u_0(y) \\ &\text{for the Markov control problem} \ (i.e \ \Phi_M(y) = J^{u_0}(y) for \ all \ y \in G \ ) \ \text{such that} \end{split}$$

all the boundary points of *G* are regular with respect to  $Y_t^{u_0}$  and that  $\Phi_M$  is a boundary function in  $C^2(G) \cap C(G)$  satisfying

$$E^{y}\left[\left|\Phi_{M}(Y_{\alpha})\right| + \int_{0}^{\alpha} \left|L^{u}\Phi_{M}(Y_{t})\right| dt\right] < \infty$$
 (17)

for all bounded stopping times  $\alpha \leq t_G$ , all adapted controls u and all  $y \in G$ . Then

$$\Phi_M(y) = \Phi_a(y)$$
 for all  $y \in G$ .

proof

Let  $\phi$  be a bounded function in  $C^2(G) \cap C(G)$  satisfying the equation (17) and

 $f^{\nu}(y) + (L^{\nu}\phi)(y) \le 0 \text{ for all } y \in G, \nu \in U$ (18) and

$$\phi(y) = g(y)$$
 for all  $y \in \partial G$ . (19)

Let  $u_t(w) = u(t, w)$  be an  $\mathcal{F}_t^m$ - adapted control . Then  $Y_t$  is an Ito process giveng

by

 $dY_t = b(Y_t, u_t)dt + \sigma(Y_t, u_t)dB_t$ 

$$T_{R} = \min\{R, t_{G}; \inf t > 0; |Y_{t}| \ge R\} \text{ for all } R < \infty.$$
  

$$E^{y}[\phi(Y_{TR})] = \phi(y) + E^{y} \left[ \int_{0}^{T_{R}} (L^{u(t,w)} \phi)(Y_{t}) dt \right],$$

where

$$(L^{u(t,w)}\phi)(y) = \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t}(y) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i (y, u(t,w)) \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_i}(y) + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(y, u(t,w)) \frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(y),$$

thus by the equation (17) and (18) this gives

$$E^{y}[\phi(Y_{TR})] \leq \phi(y) - E^{y}\left[\int_{0}^{T_{R}} f(Y_{t}, u(t, w)) dt\right].$$

Letting  $R \to \infty$  we obtain

$$\phi(y) \ge J^u(y) \tag{20}$$

the function  $\phi(y) = \Phi_M(y)$  satisfies the equation (18) and (19).

So by the equation (19) we have  $\Phi_M(y) \ge \Phi_a(y)$  and the theorem follows.

The Hamilton – Jacobi–Bellman equation (I) Says that : If there is an optimal control  $u^*$  then

$$f^{\nu}(y) + (L^{\nu}\Phi)(y) = 0,$$

however it cannot be said that if v satisfies

 $f^{v}(y) + (L^{v}\Phi)(y) = 0,$ then v is an optimal control . The HJB (II) says if the equation  $f^{u_{0}(y)}(y) + (L^{u_{0}(y)}\phi)(y) = 0$ 

holds and hence  $u_0$  is admissible then  $u_0$  be an optimal control.

The theorem on Markov control explains that it does not matter restricting to Markov control because we must not have information about the history .We can obtain to a good an control without have information about the past, we always obtain as good performance with a Markov control as with an arbitrary  $\mathcal{F}_t^{(m)}$  – adapted control.

#### Conclusion:

At the conclusion of this short paper, which highlighted an optimal control problems. The prominent purpose of this study to Maximize the expectation of payoff in future and we use optimal control for optimization of their activity.

Optimal control theory is easy to give more information to commiserated the mathematical reasons of the decision making process in our world.

writing down the HJB equations provide a nice solution to the Stochastic control.

## **References**:

- 1) Qksendal, Bernt. (2003): Stochastic Differential Equational.
- 2) Williams , David. (2004) Probability With Martingales.
- 3) Dynkin, E.B.1956 Markov Process, vol.1. Spring- Verlag.
- 4) Williams, Rogers. 2000 Diffusions, Markov Process and Martingale.
- 5) Fleming , W.H., Rishel , R.W.1975 Deterministic and Stochastic Opti- mal Control.
- 6) Kirk, DonaldE. (1970). Optimal Control Theory.
- 7) Naidu, Desineni S (2003) .The Hamilton-jacobi- Bellman Equation.